

**REMARKS:**

The present Amendment makes editorial changes in the title, specification and claims, and adds an Abstract, to conform the present PCT application to the requirements of United States patent practice. The  
5 cancellation of claims 1-16 in favor of the claims presented herein has been undertaken solely because the amount of strikethroughs and underlining that would have been necessary to confirm the original claims to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph would have been unduly burdensome and confusing. No change in the claim language has been made for  
10 distinguishing any claim over the teachings of the prior art of record. Accordingly, no change difference in any claim language between the language of the original claims and the language of the claims presented herein is considered by the Applicants as a surrender of any of the subject matter encompassed within the scope of original claims.

15 Early consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Submitted by,

*Steven H. Noll*

(Reg. 28,982)

Schiff, Hardin LLP

**CUSTOMER NO. 26574**

Patent Department

6600 Sears Tower

233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: 312/258-5790

Attorneys for Applicants.

Description

~~Method and arrangement and computer program with program code means and computer program product for the analysis of neuronal activities in neuronal areas~~

SPECIFICATION

5

TITLE

"METHOD, ARRANGEMENT AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR ANALYZING NEURONAL ACTIVITIES"

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

10        The present invention relates to an analysis of neuronal activities in neuronal areas, for example of nerve structures in areas of the brain of a patient.

Description of the Prior Art

15        Knowledge about a mode of operation of a neuronal area and about an interaction of neuronal areas are fundamental to functional ~~nuclear spin~~ magnetic resonance tomography (fMRI), as described in A. W. Toga and J. C. Maziotta (Ed.), "Brain Mapping: The Methods", Ch 9: M. S. Cohen: "Rapid MRI and Functional Applications", Academic Press 1996 ~~or fMRI technology [3]~~, which is a further development of the ~~known~~ magnetic resonance tomography.

20        ~~Previously known magnetic~~ Magnetic resonance tomography ~~(also nuclear spin tomography, abbreviated to MR)~~ is an imaging method which that produces sectional images of the human body without using damaging X-rays.

      Instead MR takes advantage of the behavior of body tissue in a strong magnetic field. Pathological changes in body tissue, ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> example in the brain or spinal cord, can be detected by this modality ~~means~~.

25        Functional disorders in body tissue, particularly in the brain of a patient, however, cannot, ~~however~~, be detected by means of conventional magnetic resonance tomography.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

This is performed by functional ~~nuclear-spin~~ magnetic resonance tomography or fMRI technology.

5     ~~The neuronal~~ Neuronal activity in areas of the brain of a patient can be measured indirectly by means of the fMRI technique. The BOLD (Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent) signal, as it is called, is measured in individual areas of the brain, ~~said~~ this signal relating to neuronal activity in the respective areas.

10     Dependencies, which stem among other things from structures in the brain, i.e. from neuronal links between nerve cells or nerve structures, exist between the neuronal activities in the areas.

The outcome of the fMRI measurements shows the course of activity of individual areas over a certain period, for example during cognitive sequences as a result of specific perception processes or motor tasks.

15     Functional disorders, in this case in the brain, are thus ~~implicitly~~ inherently contained in the fMRI signals measured.

Efficient methods for the analysis and evaluation of such fMRI measurements are ~~thus~~ desirable in order to be able to furnish evidence of possibly existing functional disorders in specific areas.

20     ~~Previously known~~ Known methods, such as described in A.R. McIntosh et al., Structural Equation Modeling and Its Application to Network Analysis in Functional Brain Imaging, Human Brain Mapping, 2:2-22, 1994 ~~for example the method of analysis known from [6],~~ are restricted to a detection of functional relationships between various areas of the brain in certain predetermined tasks such as the aforementioned perception processes or motor tasks (functional  
25     connectivity). These functional relationships are also designated functional connectivity.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

In contrast to functional connectivity, however, the determination of a true physical connectivity, i.e. the determination of actually existing linking structures (of areas of the brain) independently of specific predetermined tasks, is not possible with these known methods.

- 5           A further known method of analysis for detecting functional connectivity is described below.

The object of this known method of analysis described below is the above-described detection of functional relationships between various areas of the brain in specific perception processes or motor tasks.

- 10           This known method of analysis is based ~~upon~~ on a predefined model of a brain, i.e. a predefined brain architecture.

This brain architecture, predetermined ~~a-priori~~ from prior knowledge, defines general functional and/or spatial dependencies between specific areas of the brain in the form of a coupling matrix S, as it is called.

- 15           The coupling matrix S has a form or structure that is fixed in accordance with the predetermined brain architecture (columns/rows) and is accordingly populated in certain, but not at all (matrix) positions, with changeable coupling strengths  $S_i$ . These are changeable and are matched as part of the method of analysis.

- 20           The unpopulated (matrix) positions are populated with fixed, unchangeable values, namely zero.

The coupling strengths  $S_i$  describe functional dependencies respectively between two areas of the brain or the BOLD signals measured there and ~~representing~~ represent the neuronal activities there.

- 25           In this known method of analysis, the (changeable) coupling strengths  $S_i$  are ~~now~~ defined such that statistical characteristic quantities ~~which~~ that are determined by this method of analysis from the fMRI measurements, can best be

**MARKED-UP COPY**

explained. Expressed differently, a the probability for an occurrence of the measured data, i.e. the fMRI measurement or the BOLD signals, is ~~to be~~ maximized by means of the desired coupling strengths  $S_i$ .

5 In this method of analysis a data point  $s=s_t$  represents a totality of all BOLD signals  $s_1, \dots, s_N$  of the individual  $n$  areas at a point in time  $t$  or averaged over a time interval  $t$  ( $t=[1;T]$ ).

The fMRI measurement ~~comprises~~ includes a large number of such data points for possibly differing perception processes and/or motor tasks, for which the corresponding BOLD signals were measured.

10 In ~~the~~ this known method of analysis, it is ~~now~~ not the individual data points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_T$  which are evaluated directly, but statistical characteristic quantities which that emerge from these.

15 For a statistical distribution of the data points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_T$  it is assumed that it is described fully by a multivariant normal distribution, i.e. a statistical distribution of the first order, with a mean value  $m$  and a covariance  $\Sigma$ :

$$P(s | \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^N \bullet |\Sigma|} \bullet e^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(s-\mu)} \quad (1)$$

For sufficiently long series of measurements, the occurrence of the individual data points  $s_i$  of  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_T$  can be viewed as statistically independent.

20 The probability  $P=P(s_1, \dots, s_T | \mu, \Sigma)$  for an occurrence of all measured data points  $s_1, \dots, s_T$  can accordingly be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} P(s_1, \dots, s_T | \mu, \Sigma) &= \prod_{t=1}^T P(s_t | \mu, \Sigma) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^{NT} \bullet |\Sigma|^T} \bullet e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (s_t - \mu) \Sigma^{-1} (s_t - \mu)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

**MARKED-UP COPY**

Here, the unknown variables, the mean value  $\mu$  and the covariance  $\Sigma$ , depend exclusively on a (brain) model ~~which~~ that describes the measured data.

5 The model assumes a linear statistical relationship between the individual BOLD signals:

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^N S_{ij} s_j + \varepsilon_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N$$

or

$$s = Ss + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

10 where  $\varepsilon$  ~~describes~~ designates the external influence on the individual BOLD signals, like a sensory input of sensory cells on the examined areas of the brain.

The influence variables  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  on different examined areas  $i$  and  $j$  ~~may~~ can in this case be correlated throughout.

15 The model parameters to be specified are consequently the coupling strengths  $S_i$  of the underlying coupling matrix  $S$ , the mean value  $\mu_\varepsilon$  of the external influence  $\varepsilon$  and the covariance  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  of  $\varepsilon$ .

The mean value  $\mu$  and the covariance  $\Sigma$  depend on these:

$$\mu = \mu(S, \mu_\varepsilon)$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(S, \Sigma_\varepsilon) \quad (4)$$

20 In ~~the~~ this known method of analysis the model parameters are then determined such that the probability  $P = P(s_1, \dots, s_T | \mu, \Sigma)$  given in (2) for the occurrence of the measured data is maximized.

25 For this purpose, a known maximum likelihood estimation [4] method (optimization) is applied such as described in T.W. Anderson, An Introduction to Multivariable Statistical Analysis, Chapter 3, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, 1994.

### MARKED-UP COPY

Using the relationships (4) in (2), an expression that is dependent on the coupling strengths  $S_i$ , the mean value  $\mu_\varepsilon$  and the covariance  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  is obtained, which expression is maximized by the optimization.

5 The optimization then leads to the desired coupling strengths  $S_i$  between the BOLD signals.

These in turn then enable detection of functional relationships between various areas of the brain in specific perception processes or motor tasks (functional connectivity).

10 The This known method of analysis ~~described hereinabove~~ exhibits the disadvantage, however, that the measured fMRI signals are explicable able to be modeled only insufficiently accurately or that the model is matchable only insufficiently accurately to the measured fMRI signals, and consequently the mode of operation or interaction of neuronal areas is only insufficiently mappable. This shortcoming could possibly lead to incorrect conclusions being ~~drawn~~ made with regard to connective functionality.

A software tool for an fMRI method of analysis, an "fmri.pro", is known from Specification for "fmri.pro" software relating to quantitative fMRI analysis, obtainable on 07.09.2001, under <http://www.med.uni-uenchen.de/radin/html/arbeitsgruppen/fmri/ccfmri.html> [4]. A device for implementing the fMRI technique is known from Specification of fMRI – device, obtainable on 07.09.2001, under <http://www.unipublic.unizh.ch/campus/uninews/2001/0147/fmri.html> [5].

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 The An object of the present invention is ~~consequently to indicate~~ provide an improved method of analysis for the analysis of neuronal activities. The improved method of analysis is intended to be able to better explain measured fMRI signals and ~~thereby~~ thus to better describe the mode of operation and interaction of neuronal areas than is the case with the ~~above~~ above-described known method of analysis.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

~~This object is achieved in the method and the arrangement and in the computer program with program code means and in the computer program product for the analysis of neuronal activities in neuronal areas having the features according to the respective independent Claim.~~

- 5        ~~In the~~ This object is achieved in accordance with the invention by a method for the analysis of neuronal activities in neuronal areas using signals describing the neuronal activities, ~~the~~ wherein signals are determined, ~~whereby~~ with each signal ~~describes~~ describing the neuronal activity in one of the neuronal areas.

- 10       A matchable coupling ~~which~~ that is described by using matchable coupling variables ~~which~~ that describe a statistical relationship between the matchably coupled signals forms the basis of all the signals, ~~not~~ rather than just some of them.

Probabilities for an occurrence of the signals are determined, whereby a statistical distribution forms the basis of the occurrence of the signals.

- 15       All matchable coupling variables are determined by an optimization of the probabilities and hence matched.

The neuronal activities are analyzed using the matchable coupling variables.

- 20       The arrangement in accordance with the invention for the analysis of neuronal activities in neuronal areas by the use of signals describing the neuronal activities has units ~~standing functionally in contact~~ connected with one another ~~and~~ which are configured such that

- the signals can be determined, whereby each signal describes the neuronal activity in one of the neuronal areas,
- 25   - a matchable coupling can form the basis of all, not just some of the signals, said matchable coupling being described by using matchable coupling variables which describe a statistical relationship between the matchably coupled signals,



**MARKED-UP COPY**

- probabilities for an occurrence of the signals can be determined, whereby a statistical distribution forms the basis of the occurrence of the signals,
  - all matchable coupling variables can be determined by an optimization of the probabilities and hence matched,
- 5    - the neuronal activities can be analyzed using matchable coupling variables.

It is essential to the invention that the matchable coupling, which is described by using the matchable coupling variables, forms the basis of all signals. By this means, absolutely all coupling variables are determined by the optimization of probabilities and hence matched.

- 10        ~~In this way the~~ The invention thus differs from the known method of analysis described ~~hereinabove in that~~ above because in the known method of analysis matchable statistical coupling forms the basis of only some of the signals.

Only these can be determined by means of the optimization of probabilities and hence matched.

- 15        ~~Seen clearly~~ Thus, the known method of analysis ~~thus~~ presupposes a known, predetermined and stipulated neuronal structure.

In contrast to this, no predetermined and fixed coupling structures are assumed in advance by the inventive approach. These emerge only within the context of the optimization.

- 20        Through the interaction of an optimization method and a search method, i.e. the search for existing couplings and the determination of their optimum values, in the inventive approach both the coupling structure most probable on the basis of the signals and a coupling strength of the specific couplings are determined.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

A particularly advantageous aspect of the inventive approach is that this approach is independent of other methods and of possibly defective prior knowledge. No prior knowledge, or just outline prior knowledge, of coupling structures is needed in the case of the invention in order to analyze the neuronal  
5 activities.

As a result of the flexibility achievable by the invention in the matching of couplings, neuronal structures can be determined with greater precision and in greater detail.

The computer program with program code ~~means~~ according to the  
10 invention is equipped to perform all steps in accordance with the method of analysis ~~according to~~ of the invention if when the program is run on a computer.

The computer program product with program code ~~means~~ stored on a machine-readable medium is equipped to perform all steps in accordance with the method of analysis ~~according to~~ of the invention if when the program is run on a  
15 computer.

The arrangement and the computer program with program code ~~means~~ ~~which~~ that are equipped to perform all the steps in accordance with the inventive method of analysis ~~if the program is run on a computer and the computer program product with program code means stored on a machine-readable medium which is~~  
20 ~~equipped to perform all the steps in accordance with the inventive method of analysis if the program is run on a computer~~ are particularly suitable for performing the method of analysis according to the invention or one of its further developments explained hereinbelow below.

~~Preferred further developments of the invention will emerge from the~~  
25 ~~dependent claims.~~

The further developments embodiments described ~~hereinafter~~ below relate both to the methods and to the arrangement.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

The invention and the further developments embodiments described hereinafter below can be implemented both in software and in hardware, for example using a special electrical circuit (ASIC).

5 Furthermore, implementation of the invention ~~or of a~~ including the further development embodiments described hereinafter is possible by means of a computer-readable storage medium on which is stored the computer program with program code ~~means which executes the invention or further development~~.

10 The invention, including the ~~or each~~ further development embodiments described hereinafter below can also be implemented in a computer program product which has a storage medium on which is stored the computer program with program code ~~means which executes the invention or further development~~.

15 The statistical distribution ~~which~~ that forms the basis of the occurrence of the signals can be a statistical distribution of a first or a higher order. The higher order can be achieved by using an Edgeworth expansion, as described in Samuel Kotz, Norman L. Johnson (Editors-In-Chief), Cornish-Fisher and Edgeworth Expansions, Ch. 4, pages 188-192, Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Volume 2, John Wiley & Sons, 1982 [2] or a sum of normal distributions.

20 In such a statistical distribution of a higher order not only do mean value and covariance have to be matched to comply with a quantity of data – as in the case of such a statistical distribution of the first order - but further higher-order parameters like moments and cumulants also have to be matched.

It should be noted that the possibilities mentioned for achieving a higher order without restricting the generality are only two selected statistical distributions. Other possibilities are known to persons skilled in the art.

25 In addition, in the sum of normal distributions, the individual normal distributions and thus indirectly the neuronal activities can be weighted.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

Optimization can also be implemented by means of a maximum likelihood estimation, as described in T.W. Anderson, An Introduction to Multivariable Statistical Analysis, Chapter 3, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, 1994 [4] method.

5           In the optimization, a relationship between the linear statistical relationship and the statistical distribution can be taken into consideration as an auxiliary condition.

10           It is also expedient, because ~~by this means~~ the biological model of neuronal structures can be mapped in a more real way, for external influences on the signals to be taken into consideration in the linear statistical relationship. Such external influences may, for example, be sensory inputs of sensory cells on the examined areas.

15           The determination of signals in the invention, for example of BOLD signals, can be carried out by measuring signals or ~~else~~ by transferring and/or reading in existing signals.

          The invention ~~and further development described are~~ is particularly suitable for ~~using~~ use in an fMRI technique, which is considerably improved and more powerful as a result.

20           In the context of such an fMRI use or fMRI examination, the neuronal areas are areas of the brain with corresponding nerve structures of patients to be examined and diagnosed.

25           In the fMRI examination using the inventive approach, BOLD signals are measured in various areas of the brain of a patient for defined perceptory or motor tasks carried out by the patient, which BOLD signals describe or represent the neuronal activities in the respective areas of the brain. These are evaluated or analyzed, whereby the signal coupling variables are determined.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

Using the analysis results, in particular the signal coupling variables, functional as well as physical dependencies between areas of the brain can be detected and determined. These can further be used for a diagnosis concerning a functional disorder in an area of the brain of a patient, for example by comparing  
5 “disordered” dependencies with those of healthy persons.

~~An exemplary embodiment of the invention is explained below and shown in the Figures, in which~~

~~Figure 1 shows a device for carrying out an fMRI according to an exemplary embodiment,~~

10 ~~Figure 2 shows a sketch containing steps in a method for analyzing BOLD signals according to an exemplary embodiment.~~

~~Exemplary embodiment: Functional nuclear spin tomography (fMRI)~~

**DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

15 Figure 1 illustrates a functional magnetic resonance imaging apparatus for conducting an fMRI examination in accordance with the invention.

Figure 2 is a flowchart for analyzing BOLD signals according to an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

20 The invention will be explained in the context of an embodiment for functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI).

Fig. 1 shows a device an apparatus 100 for carrying out functional nuclear spin tomography or magnetic resonance tomography (abbreviated to fMRI) and a functional nuclear spin tomograph or magnetic resonance tomograph 100.

25 Basic principles of fMRI technology, which is a further development of the known modality of magnetic resonance tomography, are known from A. W. Toga and J. C. Maziotta (Ed.), “Brain Mapping: The Methods”, Ch 9: M. S. Cohen: “Rapid MRI and Functional Applications”, Academic Press 1996 [3].

**MARKED-UP COPY**

The ~~nuclear spin tomograph~~ apparatus 100 has a closed tunnel 110 which is incorporated in a magnet 120 ~~in such a way that this magnet~~ it generates a strong magnetic field in the tunnel 110.

5 The ~~nuclear spin tomograph~~ apparatus 100 also has a patient table 130 that can be introduced into the tunnel 110, on which table a patient is placed for an examination.

10 In addition, the ~~nuclear spin tomograph~~ apparatus 100 has a control unit 131 ~~which that~~ that enables monitoring and control of the patient table 130 in the examination, for example a controlled introduction of the patient table 130 into the tunnel 120.

15 ~~As further components, the nuclear spin tomograph~~ The tomography apparatus 100 also has a measuring device 140 for the measurement of BOLD (Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent) signals, an associated evaluation device 141 for evaluating the measured BOLD signals, in this case a high-performance computer, as well as an operating or ~~interaction~~ interface device 142 for operating personnel and a display device 143 for displaying an examination result.

The components of the ~~nuclear spin tomograph~~ apparatus 100 are functionally connected to one another, for example via signal lines or data lines 150, via which the data and signals can be transferred.

20 Based ~~upon~~ on the fMRI technique, the neuronal activity in areas of the brain of a patient can be measured and analyzed and a diagnosis can be made ~~derived from this~~ by means of the ~~functional nuclear spin tomograph~~ apparatus 100 shown in Fig. 1.

25 To this end, the BOLD (Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent) signal in individual selected areas of the brain of the patient is measured by ~~means of the~~ measuring device 140, ~~said the~~ the BOLD signal being ~~connected with~~ representation of the neuronal activity in the respective area.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

The result of such fMRI measurements shows the curve of the activity of the individual areas over a certain period of time, for example during cognitive sequences as a result of specific perception processes or motor tasks which have to be carried out by the patient during an examination.

- 5            Functional disorders in the brain of the patient are thus ~~implicitly~~ inherently contained in the measured fMRI signals.

Using the evaluation device 141, which makes available or implements a ~~new~~ the inventive method of analysis, the fMRI measurements, i.e. the BOLD signals measured in individual areas of the brain, are analyzed.

- 10           This ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis represents ~~in this case an improved further development of an improvement over~~ the known method of analysis described above.

- 15           In the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis, brain activity is determined in the form of corresponding activation patterns in the examined areas in the brain and/or relationships between activation patterns in the examined areas and from that conclusions drawn directly of functional disorders in the brain and their causes.

- 20           The ~~new~~ inventive of analysis made available by the evaluation device 140 is based upon an extended and more flexible model of the brain, of the neuron structures in the brain and their behavior, in particular their interactions, on the basis of which the measured BOLD signal is analyzed and evaluated.

The basic principles of the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis and the model are explained below.

- 25           The results or the conclusions of an examination are shown on the display device 143 and can be further processed by means of the operating and ~~interaction~~ interface device 142 in connection with the evaluation device 141. They also serve as a basis for a medical diagnosis ~~in respect~~ of a patient to be examined and diagnosed.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

Basic principles of the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis ~~[[[]]]~~ as set forth in  
Fig. 2, steps 210 to 250. ~~[[[]]]~~

It ~~is pointed out~~ should be noted that the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis  
is an improved further development of the ~~old~~ known method of analysis  
5 described above. Consequently, ~~it is the case below that~~ – unless stated  
otherwise – the ~~old~~ known and ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis ~~match for these~~  
correspond in parts. Where matching parts are mentioned explicitly, they exhibit  
the labeling used previously hereinabove.

Using the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis 200 the fMRI measurements  
10 (210), i.e. the BOLD signals in examined areas of the brain of a patient, are  
analyzed (210 to 250) and/or compared with reference fMRI measurements. In  
this way, conclusions are drawn directly about functional disorders in the  
examined brain and their causes.

The ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis 200, which generates statistical  
15 characteristic quantities, such as statistical correlations between fMRI  
measurements in various areas of the brain, is based ~~upon~~ on an extended and  
more flexible mathematical model (220) of the brain based upon the known  
mathematical model according to A. W. Toga and J. C. Maziotto (Ed.), "Brain  
Mapping: The Methods", Ch 9: M. S. Cohen: "Rapid MRI and Functional  
20 Applications", Academic Press 1996 (3).

In this extended model (220) of the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis, the  
coupling matrix S is populated in all (matrix)positions by changeable coupling  
strengths  $S_i$ .

In the ~~new~~ inventive method of analysis 200 all – because they are also  
25 changeable – coupling strengths  $S_i$  are determined in such a way that statistical  
characteristic quantities which are determined from the fMRI measurements can  
best be explained (210 to 250).



**MARKED-UP COPY**

A data point  $s=s_t$  represents the totality of all the BOLD signals  $s_1, \dots, s_N$  of the individual  $n$  examined areas at a point in time  $t$  (or averaged over a time interval  $t$ ) ( $t=[1;T]$ ).

5 The fMRI measurement comprises a large number of such data points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_T$  for differing perception processes and/or motor tasks for which the corresponding BOLD signals were measured.

In contrast to the ~~old~~ known method of analysis, in which a multivariant standard distribution was assumed for the statistical distribution of the data points, in the new method of analysis 200 a weighted sum of normal distributions is  
10 assumed for the statistical distribution (220).

$$P(s | C_1, \dots, C_L, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_L, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_L) = \quad (5)$$
$$\frac{1}{\sum_{l=1}^L C_l} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L \left\{ \frac{C_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}^N \cdot |\Sigma_l|} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-\mu_l)^T \Sigma_l^{-1} (s-\mu_l)} \right\}$$

In this case, the chosen statistical distribution and thus also the equivalence of probabilities  $P=P(s | C_1, \dots, C_L, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_L, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_L)$  (230) (cf. (2)) for the occurrence of measured data points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_T$  depend on more or  
15 different parameters than the mean value  $\mu$  and the covariance  $\Sigma$  of the ~~old~~ known method of analysis.

In the new inventive method of analysis 200 specific statistical variables, which can be calculated for the chosen statistical distribution, are now correlated with the model parameters, i.e. the coupling strengths  $S_i$ , the mean value  $\mu_\varepsilon$  of  
20 external influence  $\varepsilon$  and the covariance  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  of  $\varepsilon$ .

These include *inter alia* the means values  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_L$ , the covariances  $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_L$  and all the moments and cumulants of the chosen distribution of a higher order.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

An ~~implicit~~ inherent relationship between the statistical distribution parameters and the model parameters to be determined emerges from this, in this case taking into consideration the distribution (5) and the extended model based upon the model according to (3).

$$\begin{aligned} 5 \quad & \mu = \mu(C_1, C_L, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_L, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_L) \\ & \Sigma = \Sigma(C_1, \dots, C_L, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_L, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_L) \\ & \vdots \\ & \mu = \mu(S, \mu_\varepsilon, \mu) \\ & \Sigma = \Sigma(S, \Sigma_\varepsilon, \Sigma) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

10 In conformance with the ~~old~~ known method of analysis, the optimum model parameters are now determined (240) in an analogous manner in the new method of analysis 200 using maximum likelihood estimation [1] through optimization or maximization of the probabilities (5).

15 The basic principles of maximum likelihood estimation are described in T.W. Anderson, An Introduction to Multivariable Statistical Analysis, Chapter 3, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, 1994 [4].

The parameters to be taken into consideration for the optimization are the parameters of the chosen statistical distribution of a higher order, in this case the weighted sum of normal distributions, the desired model parameters and statistical  
20 variables, in this case the mean value  $m$  and the covariance  $\Sigma$  from (6), via which the relationships between the model parameters and the statistical distribution (5) were produced.

These relationships from (6) must be taken into consideration as auxiliary conditions in the optimization.

25 The optimization then leads to the desired coupling strengths  $S_i$  ~~which~~ that describe dependencies between the BOLD signals (250) and form the basis of the further evaluation and medical diagnosis (250).

**MARKED-UP COPY**

~~An alternative to the exemplary embodiment described is indicated below.~~

In As an alternative, in place of the weighted sum of normal distributions, the distribution of data points can also be described by an Edgeworth expansion.

5 The basic principles of the Edgeworth expansion are described in Samuel Kotz, Norman L. Johnson (Editors-In-Chief), Cornish-Fisher and Edgeworth Expansions, Ch. 4, pages 188-192, Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Volume 2, John Wiley & Sons, 1982 [2].

10 Although modifications and changes may be suggested by those skilled in the art, it is the invention of the inventors to embody within the patent warranted heron all changes and modifications as reasonably and properly come within the scope of their contribution to the art.

**MARKED-UP COPY**

The following publications are cited within the context of this document:

- 5 [1] — T.W. Anderson, An Introduction to Multivariable Statistical Analysis,  
Chapter 3, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, 1994
- [2] — Samuel Kotz, Norman L. Johnson (Editors-In-Chief), Cornish-Fisher and  
Edgeworth Expansions, Ch. 4, pages 188-192, Encyclopedia of Statistical  
Sciences, Volume 2, John Wiley & Sons, 1982
- 10 [3] — A. W. Toga and J. C. Maziotto (Ed.), "Brain Mapping: The Methods", Ch 9:  
M. S. Cohen: "Rapid MRI and Functional Applications", Academic Press  
1996
- 15 [4] — Specification for "fmri.pro" software relating to quantitative fMRI analysis,  
obtainable on 07.09.2001, under [http://www.med.uni-  
muenchen.de/radin/html/  
arbeitsgruppen/fmri/ccfmri.html](http://www.med.uni-muenchen.de/radin/html/arbeitsgruppen/fmri/ccfmri.html)
- 20 [5] — Specification of fMRI — device, obtainable on 07.09.2001, under  
[http://www.unipublic.unizh.ch/campus/uni-news/  
2001/0147/fmri.html](http://www.unipublic.unizh.ch/campus/uni-news/2001/0147/fmri.html)
- 25 [6] — A.R. McIntosh et al., Structural Equation Modeling and Its Application to  
Network Analysis in Functional Brain Imaging, Human Brain Mapping, 2:2-  
22, 1994.